Driven stochastic processes with metastable states: Fokker-Planck versus master equations

Peter Talkner Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, Germany

Vienna, November 2010



Acknowledgments

Raymond W. Friddle Peter Hänggi Changho Kim Jurek Łuczka Lukasz Machura

Financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and KOSEF-DFG



Introduction

Complex stochastic dynamics typically emerges from a NONLINEAR system under permanent NON-EQUILIBRIUM conditions, such as permanent fluxes of energy or particles through the system. External TIME-DEPENDENT FORCING presents just an example and shall be considered here as the source of non-equilibrium.

RANDOMNESS results from the dynamics of those degrees of freedom of the considered system and its environment that are not explicitly controlled.

TIME-SCALE SEPARATION:

Fast "microscopic" versus slow "macroscopic" variables

noise and friction

 $\tau_{\rm mic} \ll \tau, \ \tau_{\rm drive} \implies {\sf Markovian Dynamics},$

here: Langevin, Fokker-Planck



Multi- and metastability

In the absence of noise the non-linearity of the equations of motion frequently asymptotically leads to DISTINCT, LOCALLY STABLE STATES. Noise destabilizes these states; at sufficiently WEAK NOISE the system though stays most of the time close to one of these formerly stable states and transitions to other such states occur only rarely.

Hence noise renders the locally stable states of a deterministic system METASTABLE.



Time-scale separation: deterministic time scale $\tau \ll$ typical residence time



Equilibrium

For ${\rm EQUILIBRIUM}$ ${\rm SYSTEMS}$ the transition dynamics between metastable states is well understood and described by master equations: 1

$$\dot{p}_{lpha}(t) = \sum_{eta
eq lpha} k_{lpha,eta} p_{eta}(t) - \sum_{eta
eq lpha} k_{eta,lpha} p_{lpha}(t)$$

Detailed balance: $ho({f x}) \propto e^{-E({f x})/k_BT}$

$$k_{lpha,eta}\propto e^{-\Delta E_{lpha,eta}/k_BT}$$

 $\Delta E_{\alpha,\beta}$: Activation energy pre-exponential factor determined by the curvatures of the energy at the initial state and corresponding saddle and the linearized dynamics at the saddle.



¹P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 251 (1990); E. Pollak, P. Talkner, Chaos **15**, 026116 (2005)

Driving

PERIODIC driving presents a standard method to test a system. In the presence of metastable states it may lead to stochastic resonance for which the otherwise random transitions between two metastable states synchronize with the external driving signal.

deterministic motion: $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), t), \quad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t+T) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ $\mathbf{X}(t|\mathbf{y}, s) \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(t) \text{ for } s \rightarrow -\infty, \ \alpha = 1 \dots n$ $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(t+T) = \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(t): \text{ attractors}$ $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(s) = \{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}(t|\mathbf{y}, s \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(t) \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(s+T) = \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(s): \text{ domain of attraction of } \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(t)$ $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(s) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\alpha'}(s) = \emptyset \text{ for } \alpha \neq \alpha',$ $\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(s) = \Sigma.$

RAMPED FORCE: Mechanical probing of chemical bonds by application of a steadily increasing force.

Example



Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations

Langevin equation : $\dot{x}_i(t) = f_i(\mathbf{x}(t), t) + g_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)\xi_j(t)$, $\xi_i(t)$: Gaussian white noise, $\langle \xi_i(t) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(s) \rangle = \delta_{i,j}\delta(t-s)$

Equivalent Fokker-Planck equation: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, s) = L_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, s), \text{ forward equation}$ $-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\mathbf{y},s) = L_{\mathbf{y}}^{+}(s)\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\mathbf{y},s), \text{ backward equation}$ $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, s), t \ge s, \ \rho(\mathbf{x}, s | \mathbf{y}, s) = \delta(t - s)$: cond. prob. dens. $L(t) = -\sum_{i}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} K_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \sum_{i,i}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} D_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}, t) ,$ $L^{+}(s) = \sum_{i}^{d} K_{i}(\mathbf{y}, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} + \sum_{i}^{d} D_{i,j}(\mathbf{y}, s) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v_{i} \partial v_{i}},$ $K_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = f_i(\mathbf{x}, t) + \text{n.i.t.},$ $D_{i,j}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum g_{i,k}(\mathbf{x},t)g_{j,k}(\mathbf{x},t)$. ◆聞▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣□

Periodic driving: Floquet representation of conditional probability

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) = \sum_{i} e^{\mu_{i}(t-s)} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}) \quad \text{conditional probability}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) = L(t) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mu_{i} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{forward Floquet eq.},$$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) = L^{+}(t) \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mu_{i} \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{backward Floquet eq.},$$

$$\psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t+T) = \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t+T) = \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{periodicity}$$

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta_{i,j} \text{ orthogonality}; \quad \sum_{i} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{y}, t) = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \text{ completeness}$$

$$\text{Re} \mu_{i} \leq 0, \qquad \{\mu_{i}\} \text{ constitutes the Floquet spectrum}$$

$$\mu_{0} = 0, \quad \varphi_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 1, \quad \psi_{0}(\mathbf{x}, t) \text{ unique asymptotic pdf}$$

Deterministic limit

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{det}}^{+} &= \sum_{i} f_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \text{ characteristics : } \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) \\ &- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{\mathsf{det}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathcal{L}^{+}(t) \varphi_{\mathsf{det}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{has } n \text{ independent solutions : } \\ &\varphi_{\mathsf{det},\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(t) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Hence, $\mu_0 = 0$ is n-fold degenerate in the deterministic limit. Weak noise lifts this degeneracy and the spectrum contains a group of n Floquet-exponents $\mu_i \ 0 = 1, ..., n$ which have a much smaller absolute value than the rest: $|\mu_i| \ll |\mu_j|$ for $i < n, j \ge n$.

$$\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\mathbf{y},s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{\mu_i(t-s)} \psi_i(\mathbf{x},t) \varphi_i(\mathbf{y},s) \qquad \text{for } t-s \gg \tau$$

 $\tau :$ deterministic relaxation time



Alternative representation of the large time conditional probability²

THREE STEPS to go from **y** at *s* to **x** at t for $t - s \gg \tau$:

Step 1: Go in time $\tau_1 \approx \tau$ from **y** at *s* nearby to the next attractor $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}(s + \tau_1)$; probability given by the localizing function $\chi_{\beta}(\mathbf{y}, s)$. Step 2: A transitions from the metastable state β at time $s + \tau_1 \approx s$ to α at time *t* occurs with the transition probability $p(\alpha, t|\beta, s)$.

Step 3: To each metastable state α at time t a continuous state is allocated with state specific pdf $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \alpha)$.

Steps 1, 2 and 3 are INDEPENDENT from each other

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, s) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \alpha) p(\alpha, t | \beta, s) \chi_{\beta}(\mathbf{y}, s)$$

²C. Kim, P.Talkner, E.K. Lee, P. Hänggi, Chem. Phys. **370**,277 (2010) 📱 🤄

╲┟╏┨<u>┍</u>╋┷

Properties of localizing functions and state-specific pdfs

$$\chi_{lpha}(\mathbf{x},t) pprox \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}_{lpha}(t) & ext{ interpolates smoothly} \ 0 & ext{ else} & ext{ in a region near } \partial \mathcal{D}_{lpha}(t) \ & \sum \chi_{lpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

 lpha $ho({f x},t|lpha)$ concentrated near ${\cal A}_lpha(t)$, vanishes elswhere.

$$\int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \,
ho(\mathbf{x},t|lpha) = 1$$
 ,



$$p_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \ \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

$$\rho_{\rho}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\alpha} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \alpha) p_{\alpha}(t)$$

$$\implies \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \ \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \beta) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{y}, s) \rho(\mathbf{y}, s) \implies p_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} p(\alpha, t | \beta, s) p_{\beta}(s)$$

$$\dot{p}_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} k_{\alpha,\beta}(t) p_{\beta}(t) - \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} k_{\beta,\alpha}(t) p_{\alpha}(t) \text{ master equation}$$
$$k_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \, \frac{\partial \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\beta) + \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \, \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) L(t) \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\beta)$$



example



 $\Omega = 10, D = 0.025$ A = 0, 0.1, 0.20.3, 0.4





Absorbing boundary approximation

Sink-terms $-\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} k_{\beta,\alpha}(t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\beta)$: LARGE if **x** near one of $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}(t)$ \implies replace sink by absorbing boundary at $\partial \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t)$; $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t)$: neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) &= L(t)\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) + k_{\alpha}(t)\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_{\alpha}(t) \equiv \Sigma \setminus \cup_{\beta \neq \alpha} \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t) \\ \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) &= 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t), \ \beta \neq \alpha \\ \rho(\mathbf{x},t+T|\alpha) &= \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha), \\ k_{\alpha}(t) &\equiv \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} k_{\beta,\alpha}(t) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) &= L(t)\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha), \quad \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) = 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t), \ \beta \neq \alpha \\ \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) &= N_{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x},t) \\ k_{\alpha}(t) &= -\dot{N}_{\alpha}(t)/N_{\alpha}(t) \end{aligned}$$

-・ロト (聞) (目) (目) 目 の()

Flux-over-population rate

$$k_{\beta,\alpha}(t) = \underbrace{\int_{\partial \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t)} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha)}_{\text{flux of } \rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha) \text{into } \mathcal{B}_{\beta}(t)} / \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma_{\alpha}(t)} d\mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha)}_{=1, \text{ population}}$$
$$j_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha) = K_{i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha) - \sum_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} D_{i,l}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha)$$

Remarks

(i) $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \alpha)$: Kramers' current carrying prob. density for a time-homogeneous process

(ii) In the time-homogeneous case the "flux over the barrier" is conveniently determined at a saddle point. In the periodic case only the flux that directly leads to "products" gives the correct time-dependent rate. Only averages over a period are insensitive to the choice of the boundary.

Decoration of metastable states

Decoration of the metastable state probabilities $p_{\alpha}(t)$ with $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t|\alpha)$:

$$\rho_{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\alpha} \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) p_{\alpha}(t)$$





Semi-adiabatic limit and frozen detailed balance³

$$T, k^{-1}(t) \gg \tau$$

$$k_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\partial \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\beta) + \int_{\Sigma} d\mathbf{x} \, \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) L(t) \rho(\mathbf{x},t|\beta)$$

frozen detailed balance: $L(t)\rho_{eq}(\mathbf{x},t) = \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{x},t)L^+(t)$

$$\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\alpha) = \chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)\rho_{eq}(\mathbf{x},t)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = L^{+}(t)\chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) + \text{source- and sink-terms}$$

$$\chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}(t)$$

³P. Talkner, New J. Phys. **1**, 4 (1999); P. Talkner, J. Łuczka, PRE **69**, 046109 (2004)



Example



A=0.1, D= 0.025 $\Omega = 0$, 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 0.1, 0.5, 1, ∞

Conclusion of part 1

Large-time dynamics of the conditional probability $\rho(\mathbf{x},t|\mathbf{y},s)$ is determined by

 $\left(1\right)$ the transitions between metastable states governed by a master equation with time-dependent rates

- (2) the localizing functions $\chi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
- (3) the state specific probabilities $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t | \alpha)$.

Absorbing boundary approximation yields specific probabilities and localizing functions.

Rates then are given by flux-over-population expressions.

Slow driving: Semi-adiabatic approximation

P. Talkner, J. Łuczka, PRE 69, 046109 (2004).

C. Kim, P. Talkner, E.K. Lee, P. Hänggi, Chem. Phys. 370, 277 (2010).



Rates and point-process of transition times⁴



Transition times



Transition times constitute two alternating point processes $\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 ...\}$



Langevin simulation of transition times





Entrance time density

 $W_1(t)dt = \#\{\text{transitions into state 1 within } [t,t+dt]\}$ $W_1(t) = k_2(t)p_2(t)$ entrance time density

 $f_{1,1}(t,s) = k_2(t)p(2,t|1,s)k_2(s)p_2(s)$ $f_{1,2}(t,s) = k_2(t)p(2,t|2,s)k_1(s)p_1(s)$

joint densities of entrances into states 1 and 1 (2) at times t and s, respectively. $p_{\alpha}(t)$: asymptotic, periodic solution of master equation.

 $p(\alpha, t | \alpha', s)$: prob. α at t conditioned on α' at s.

Beginning of a hierarchy of joint n-time entrance densities.

P. Talkner, Physica A 325, 124 (2003)





Counting process and Rice phase

 $N(t,s) = #\{$ transitions in $(s,t)\}$: counting process

 $\Phi(t,s) = \pi N(t,s)$:

generalized Rice phase Callenbach et al. PRE **65**, 051110 (2002)

$$\langle N(t,s)\rangle = \int_{s}^{t} dt' W(t'), \quad W(t) = V$$

a +

$$W(t) = W_1(t) + W_2(t)$$



Phase diffusion

$$\langle N^2(t,s) \rangle = \langle N(t,s) \rangle + 2 \int_s^t dt' \int_s^{t'} ds' f(t',s')$$

 $\langle \delta N^2(t,s) \rangle \equiv \langle (N(t,s) - \langle N(t,s) \rangle)^2 \rangle \sim D(s)(t-s) \text{ for } t-s \to \infty$



P. Talkner, Ł. Machura, M. Schindler, P. Hänggi, J. Łuczka, New J. Phys. **7**, 14 (2005); J. Casado-Pascual et al. PRE **71**, 011101 (2005) INSTITUT für PHYSIK

Residence time distributions



L. Gammaitoni, F. Marchesoni, S. Santucci, PRL 74, 1052 (1995) VERSITAT AUGSBURG

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・

э

Counting statistics



Synchronization measure P(2)

 $P(2) = Prob \{two transitions per period\}$



P(2) maximal at SR BONA FIDE resonance



How often and how long?



reaction-coordinate

$$k_1(t) = k_1^0 \exp \left[\frac{\lambda x_1 t}{k_B T}\right]$$

$$k_2(t) = k_2^0 \exp \left[-\frac{\lambda x_2 t}{k_B T}\right]$$

that increases with time is applied to a molecule. Often the process of conformational change induced by the applied force is considered as a first passage time problem.

In a stretching experiment a force

 λ : speed of force increase x_i : distance of the metastable state *i* from the barrier INSTITUT für PHYSIK

э

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



 $au = 1/k_1^0 = 10$ s, $k_2^0 au = e^{10}$, $x_1 = x_2 = 1$ nm



Conclusion of part 2

Transition rates determine

- Densities and correlations of transition times
 average Rice phase and phase diffusion
- Residence time distributions
- Probabilities of numbers of transitions
- First passage times
- Total sojourn times of a state

