# Effective field theories for non-relativistic bound states

Antonio Vairo

Technische Universität München



#### Matter is made of bound states

• Electromagnetic bound states: atoms, molecules, ...



Strong-interaction bound states: hadrons, nuclei, ...
 (At low *T* and *ρ*, confinement only allows for bound states!)



### ... many of them non-relativistic

- atoms, molecules, ...
- baryonium, pionium, ...
- quarkonium (charmonium, bottomonium, top-antitop pairs, ... )

#### Non-relativistic quantum theory of bound states

Non-relativistic bound states accompanied the history of the quantum theory from its inception to the establishing of the quantum theory of fields:

• 1926 Schrödinger equation: 
$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V\right)\phi = E\phi$$

$$\begin{cases} g = g_0 + g_0(-iV)g \\ g_0 = \frac{i}{E - \mathbf{p}^2/(2m)} \end{cases} = --- + ---$$

• 1927 Pauli equation: 
$$\left(\frac{(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} + V - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot e\mathbf{B}}{2m}\right)\phi = E\phi$$

The relevant scales of the non-relativistic bound state dynamics are

• 
$$E \sim \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} \sim V \sim mv^2$$
, •  $p \sim 1/r \sim mv$ ;  
a crucial observation: if  $v$ (elocity) $\ll$  1, then  $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$ .

#### Relativistic quantum theory of bound states

• 1928 Dirac equation:  $(i D - m) \psi = 0$ 

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} g^{D} = g^{D}_{0} + g^{D}_{0}(-ieA)g^{D} \\ g^{D}_{0} = \frac{i}{\not p - m} \end{array} \right. = - - - + - - \left. \right\}$$

• 1951 Bethe–Salpeter equation:

which reduces to the Schrödinger equation in the non-relativistic limit,  $E^{(\mathrm{ext})} \sim mv^2$ ,  $p^{(\mathrm{ext})} \sim mv$ :

$$\mathbf{K} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\mathbf{K}} + \underbrace{\end{array}}_{$$

$$g_0^D(\textit{fermion/anti-fermion}) = \frac{i}{\pm p^0 + E/2 - \mathbf{p}^2/2m + i\epsilon} \frac{1\pm\gamma^0}{2} + \dots$$

#### The Bethe–Salpeter equation for non-relativistic states ...

The non-relativistic expansion may be implemented systematically at the level of the Bethe–Salpeter equation:

 $K = K_V + \delta K$  where  $K_V \approx -iV$  and  $G_V = G_0 + G_0 K_V G_V$  can be solved  $G = G_V + G_V \delta K G$ 

• Lepage PRA 16(77)863, Barbieri Remiddi NPB 141(78)413

#### ... and its problems

- cumbersome in perturbation theory;
- very poorly suited to achieve factorization (specially important in QCD).

#### <u>Ex.</u>

- It shows the difficulty of the approach the fact that going from the calculation of the mα<sup>5</sup> correction in the hyperfine splitting of the positronium ground state to the mα<sup>6</sup> ln α term took twenty-five years!
   Karplus Klein PR 87(52)848, Caswell Lepage PRA (20)(79)36 Bodwin Yennie PR 43(78)267
- With few exceptions no applications to QCD and quarkonium physics.
   OMÖdritsch Kummer ZPC 66(95)225

## ... and its problems

- cumbersome in perturbation theory;
- very poorly suited to achieve factorization (specially important in QCD).

#### Why?

- All energy scales of the full dynamics contribute: each diagram has a complicated power counting and contributes to all orders in the coupling and velocity.
- Another way of saying is that the non-relativistic bound state dynamics, described by the Schrödinger equation at the soft scale  $p \sim 1/r \sim mv$ , gets entangled with the relativistic dynamics at the scale m (e.g. radiative corrections) and the low-energy dynamics at the ultrasoft scale  $mv^2$  (e.g. the Lamb shift).

#### **Effective Field Theories**

Whenever a system *H*, described by a Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$ , is characterized by 2 scales  $\Lambda \gg \lambda$ , observables may be calculated by expanding one scale with respect to the other. An effective field theory makes the expansion in  $\lambda/\Lambda$  explicit at the Lagrangian level.

The EFT Lagrangian,  $\mathcal{L}_{EFT}$ , suitable to describe *H* at scales lower than  $\Lambda$  is defined by (1) a cut off  $\Lambda \gg \mu \gg \lambda$ ;

(2) by some degrees of freedom that exist at scales lower than  $\mu$ 

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{EFT}$  is made of all operators  $O_n$  that may be built from the effective degrees of freedom and are consistent with the symmetries of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

#### **Effective Field Theories**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \sum_{n} c_n (\Lambda/\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

- Since at  $\mu \sim \lambda$ ,  $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ , the EFT is organized as an expansion in  $\lambda/\Lambda$ .
- The EFT is renormalizable order by order in  $\lambda/\Lambda$ .
- The matching coefficients c<sub>n</sub>(Λ/μ) encode the non-analytic behaviour in Λ. They are calculated by imposing that L<sub>EFT</sub> and L describe the same physics at any finite order in the expansion: matching procedure.
- In QCD, if  $\Lambda \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  then  $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$  may be calculated in perturbation theory.

#### EFTs for systems made of two heavy quarks/fermions



- They exploit the expansion in v/ factorization of low and high energy contributions.
- They are renormalizable order by order in v.
- In perturbation theory, RG techniques provide resummation of large logs.

#### EFTs for systems made of two heavy quarks/fermions



Caswell Lepage PLB 167(86)437
Lepage Thacker NP PS 4(88)199
Bodwin et al PRD 51(95)1125, ...

```
Pineda Soto NP PS 64(98)428
Brambilla et al PRD 60(99)091502
Brambilla et al NPB 566(00)275
Kniehl et al NPB 563(99)200
Luke Manohar PRD 55(97)4129
Luke Savage PRD 57(98)413
Grinstein Rothstein PRD 57(98)78
Labelle PRD 58(98)093013
Griesshammer NPB 579(00)313
Luke et al PRD 61(00)074025
Hoang Stewart PRD 67(03)114020, ...
```

o for a review Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo RMP 77(04)1423

#### EFTs for systems made of two heavy quarks/fermions



## NRQCD

NRQCD is obtained by integrating out modes associated with the scale m



- The matching is perturbative.
- The Lagrangian is organized as an expansion in 1/m and  $\alpha_s(m)$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{NRQCD}} = \sum_{n} c(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(m/\mu)) \times O_{n}(\mu, \lambda)/m^{n}$$

Suitable to describe annihilation and production of quarkonium.

#### pNRQCD

pNRQCD is obtained by integrating out modes associated with the scale  $\frac{1}{r} \sim mv$ 



• The Lagrangian is organized as an expansion in 1/m, r, and  $\alpha_s(m)$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pNRQCD}} = \sum_{k} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{m^{k}} \times c_{k}(\alpha_{s}(m/\mu)) \times V(r\mu', r\mu) \times O_{n}(\mu', \lambda) r^{n}$$

• Degrees of freedom:

 $Q-\bar{Q}$  states, with energy  $\sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ ,  $mv^2$  and momentum  $\lesssim mv$  $\Rightarrow$  (i) singlet S (ii) octet O

Gluons with energy and momentum  $\sim \Lambda_{
m QCD}$ ,  $mv^2$ 

• Power counting:

 $p \sim \frac{1}{r} \sim mv;$ all gauge fields are multipole expanded:  $A(R, r, t) = A(R, t) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla A(R, t) + \dots$ and scale like  $(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \text{ or } mv^2)^{\text{dimension}}.$ 

Non-analytic behaviour in  $r \rightarrow$  matching coefficients V

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{s} \right) \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left( iD_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{o} \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
LO in

r

$$\theta(T) e^{-iTH_s} \qquad \qquad \theta(T) e^{-iTH_o} \left( e^{-i\int dt A^{\mathrm{adj}}} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\,a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{s} \right) \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left( iD_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{o} \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
LO in r

The equation of motion of the singlet,

$$\left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s\right)\mathbf{S} = 0,$$

is the Schrödinger equation!

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\,a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{s} \right) \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left( iD_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{o} \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$

$$+ \mathbf{V}_{A} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{O} \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{V_{B}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{Or} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \right\}$$
NLO in  $r$ 

 $+\cdots$ 



$$+V_{A} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
$$+ \frac{V_{B}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{r} \cdot g \mathbf{E} \right\}$$

NLO in r

#### • At leading order in the multipole expansion, the equation of motion of the EFT is the Schrödinger equation. Higher-order terms correct this picture (these higher order terms are responsible, for instance, for the Lamb shift).

• The Schrödinger potential, V<sub>s</sub>, emerges as a Wilson coefficient of the EFT. As such, it undergoes renormalization, develops scale dependence and satisfies renormalization group equations, which allow to resum large logarithms.

The static potential in perturbation theory



The static potential in perturbation theory



$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{i}{T} \ln \langle \Box \rangle = V_s(r,\mu) - i \frac{g^2}{N_c} V_A^2 \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-it(V_o - V_s)} \, \langle \operatorname{Tr}(r \cdot E(t) \, r \cdot E(0)) \rangle(\mu) + \dots$$
ultrasoft contribution

The static potential in perturbation theory



ultrasoft contribution

The  $\mu$  dependence cancels between the two terms in the right-hand side:

- $V_s \sim \ln r\mu, \ln^2 r\mu, \dots$
- ultrasoft contribution  $\sim \ln(V_o V_s)/\mu$ ,  $\ln^2(V_o V_s)/\mu$ , ...  $\ln r\mu$ ,  $\ln^2 r\mu$ , ...

The static Wilson loop is known up to NNLO ...

... since Monday/Thursday up to N<sup>3</sup>LO!!

Schröder PLB 447(99)321
 Smirnov et al PLB 668(08)293
 Anzai Kiyo Sumino arXiv:0911.4335
 Smirnov Smirnov Steinhauser arXiv:0911.4742

• The octet potential is known up to NNLO.

• Kniehl et al PLB 607(05)96

•  $V_A = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2).$ 

o Brambilla et al PLB 647(07)185

• The chromoelectric correlator  $\langle \operatorname{Tr}(r \cdot E(t) r \cdot E(0)) \rangle$  is known up to NLO.

o Eidemüller Jamin PLB 416(98)415

## The static potential at N<sup>4</sup>LO

$$\begin{split} V_{s}(r,\mu) &= -C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{r} \left[ 1 + a_{1} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \left( \frac{16\pi^{2}}{3} C_{A}^{3} \ln r\mu + a_{3} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{3} \\ &+ \left( a_{4}^{L2} \ln^{2} r\mu + \left( a_{4}^{L} + \frac{16}{9}\pi^{2} C_{A}^{3}\beta_{0}(-5 + 6\ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_{4} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{4} \right] \end{split}$$

$$a_4^{L2} = -\frac{16\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \beta_0$$

$$a_4^L = 16\pi^2 C_A^3 \left[ a_1 + 2\gamma_E \beta_0 + n_f \left( -\frac{20}{27} + \frac{4}{9} \ln 2 \right) + C_A \left( \frac{149}{27} - \frac{22}{9} \ln 2 + \frac{4}{9} \pi^2 \right) \right]$$

• Brambilla et al PRD 60(99)091502, PLB 647(07)185

## The static potential at N<sup>4</sup>LO

$$\begin{split} V_s(r,\mu) &= -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r} \left[ 1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left( \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \left( \frac{16 \, \pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \, \ln r \mu + a_3 \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^3 \\ &+ \left( a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r \mu + \left( a_4^L + \frac{16}{9} \pi^2 \, C_A^3 \beta_0(-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r \mu + a_4 \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^4 \right] \end{split}$$

- The logarithmic contribution at N<sup>3</sup>LO may be extracted from the one-loop calculation of the ultrasoft contribution;
- the single logarithmic contribution at N<sup>4</sup>LO may be extracted from the two-loop calculation of the ultrasoft contribution.

## The static potential at N<sup>3</sup>LL

$$V_{s}(r,\mu) = V_{s}(r,1/r) + \frac{2}{3}C_{F}r^{2} \left[V_{o}(r,1/r) - V_{s}(r,1/r)\right]^{3} \\ \times \left(\frac{2}{\beta_{0}}\ln\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}(1/r)} + \eta_{0}\left[\alpha_{s}(\mu) - \alpha_{s}(1/r)\right]\right) \\ \eta_{0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[-\frac{\beta_{1}}{2\beta_{0}^{2}} + \frac{12}{\beta_{0}}\left(\frac{-5n_{f} + C_{A}(6\pi^{2} + 47)}{108}\right)\right]$$

 The leading logarithmic contribution has been resummed using RG equations at LL accuracy.

```
• Pineda Soto PLB 495(00)323
```

The next-to-leading logarithmic contribution has been resummed using RG equations at NLL accuracy.

```
• Brambilla Garcia Soto Vairo PRD 80(09)034016
```

## Static quark-antiquark energy at N<sup>3</sup>LL

$$\begin{split} E_{0}(r) &= V_{s}(r,\mu) + \Lambda_{s}(r,\mu) + \delta_{\mathrm{US}}(r,\mu) \\ \Lambda_{s}(r,\mu) &= N_{s}\Lambda + 2\,C_{F}(N_{o} - N_{s})\Lambda\,r^{2}\left[V_{o}(r,1/r) - V_{s}(r,1/r)\right]^{2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{2}{\beta_{0}}\ln\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(\mu)}{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(1/r)} + \eta_{0}\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(\mu) - \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(1/r)\right]\right) \\ \delta_{\mathrm{US}}(r,\mu) &= C_{F}\frac{C_{A}^{3}}{24}\frac{1}{r}\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(\mu)}{\pi}\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^{3}(1/r)\left(-2\ln\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(1/r)N_{c}}{2r\,\mu} + \frac{5}{3} - 2\ln2\right) \end{split}$$

 $N_s$ ,  $N_o$  are two arbitrary scale-invariant dimensionless constants  $\Lambda$  is an arbitrary scale-invariant quantity of dimension one



## Static quark-antiquark energy at N<sup>3</sup>LL vs lattice

• Necco Sommer NPB 622(02)328

# Static quark-antiquark potential at N<sup>3</sup>LO (an update of 26.11.09)

A recent analytical calculation gives

$$\tilde{a}_3 = 108854^{+0}_{-1}$$

• Anzai et al arXiv:0911.4335, Smirnov et al arXiv:0911.4742



 $K_2 = (N_o - N_s)\Lambda$  $\tilde{a}_3 \sim c_0 = 222.703(6)$ 

#### Applications to quarkonium physics

- c and b masses at NNLO, N<sup>3</sup>LO\*, NNLL\*;
- $B_c$  mass at NNLO;
- $B_c^*$ ,  $\eta_c$ ,  $\eta_b$  masses at NLL;
- Quarkonium 1P fine splittings at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$ ,  $\eta_b$  electromagnetic decays at NNLL;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$  and  $J/\psi$  radiative decays at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$ ,  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$  at NNLO;
- $t\bar{t}$  cross section at NNLL;
- Leading thermal effects on quarkonium in a medium: masses, widths, ...

• ...

• for reviews Brambilla et al Heavy Quarkonium Physics CERN Yellow Report Vairo EPJA 31(07)728, IJMPA 22(07)5481

## c and b masses

| reference                           | order                          | $\overline{M}_b(\overline{M}_b)$ (GeV) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| o Brambilla et al 01                | NNLO +charm ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ ) | $4.190 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.025$            |
| <mark>o</mark> Penin Steinhauser 02 | NNNLO* ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ )      | $4.346\pm0.070$                        |
| <mark>o</mark> Lee 03               | NNNLO* ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ )      | $4.20\pm0.04$                          |
| • Contreras et al 03                | NNNLO* ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ )      | $4.241\pm0.070$                        |
| <mark>o</mark> Pineda Signer 06     | NNLL* high moments SR          | $4.19 \pm 0.06$                        |
| reference                           | order                          | $\overline{M}_c(\overline{M}_c)$ (GeV) |
| o Brambilla et al 01                | NNLO ( $J/\psi$ )              | $1.24 \pm 0.02$                        |
| o Eidemüller 02                     | NNLO high moments SR           | $1.19\pm0.11$                          |

## $\alpha_{\rm s}$ from $\Upsilon(1S)$ decay

- New CLEO data on  $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma X$ ,
- new lattice determinations of NRQCD matrix elements,

have led to an improved NLO analysis of  $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma X) / \Gamma(\Upsilon(1S) \to X)$ and to an improved determination of  $\alpha_s$  at the  $\Upsilon$ -mass scale:

 $\alpha_{\rm s}(M_{\Upsilon(1S)}) = 0.184^{+0.015}_{-0.014}, \qquad \alpha_{\rm s}(M_Z) = 0.119^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$ 

• Brambilla Garcia Soto Vairo PRD 75(07)074014



#### Electromagnetic decays of $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\eta_b$



 $\Gamma(\eta_b(1S) \to \gamma\gamma) = 0.659 \pm 0.089 (\text{th.})^{+0.019}_{-0.018} (\delta\alpha_s) \pm 0.015 (\text{exp.}) \text{ keV}$ 

• Penin et al NPB 699(04)183, Pineda Signer NPB 762(07)67

$$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma X$$



Photon spectrum at NLO (continuous lines, pNRQCD + SCET) vs CLEO data
 Garcia Soto PRD 72(05)054014, Fleming Leibovich PRD 67(03)074035

## Applications to QED bound states

Many QED calculations have remarkably benefitted from the EFT approach and corrections of very high order in perturbation theory have been calculated in the last years for many observables after decades of very slow or no progress ...

... just to mention that

• for the hyperfine splitting of the positronium ground state the terms of order  $m\alpha^6$ ,  $m\alpha^7 \ln^2 \alpha$  and  $m\alpha^7 \ln \alpha$  are now available!

• for reviews on positronium Karshenboim IJMPA 19(04)3879 Penin IJMPA 19(04)3897

• All scales above  $mv^2$  are integrated out (including  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ ).

- All scales above  $mv^2$  are integrated out (including  $\Lambda_{QCD}$ ).
- All gluonic excitations between heavy quarks are integrated out since they develop a gap of order  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  with the static  $Q\bar{Q}$  energy.



- All scales above  $mv^2$  are integrated out (including  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ ).
- All gluonic excitations between heavy quarks are integrated out since they develop a gap of order  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  with the static  $Q\bar{Q}$  energy.

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy  $mv^2$  is the only degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft hadrons, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = ext{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_0 - rac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s 
ight) \mathbf{S} 
ight\}$$

• Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo PRD 63(01)014023

$$\mathcal{L} = ext{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_0 - rac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s 
ight) \mathbf{S} 
ight\}$$

• Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo PRD 63(01)014023

- The potential  $V_s$  (Re  $V_s + i \operatorname{Im} V_s$ ) is non-perturbative:
  - (a) to be determined from the lattice;
    - Bali PR 343(01)1
  - (b) to be determined from QCD vacuum models.
    - Brambilla Vairo PRD 55(97)3974

$$\mathcal{L} = ext{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_0 - rac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s 
ight) \mathbf{S} 
ight\}$$

• Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo PRD 63(01)014023

(Without light hadrons) the Schrödinger equation is exact!
 ... which confirms the physical picture underlying potential models for heavy quarks.

#### The non-perturbative static potential

$$V_s^{(0)} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{i}{T} \ln \langle \Box \rangle$$



• Koma Koma NPB 769(07)79

#### The non-perturbative 1/m potential

1/m and  $1/m^2$  potentials may be expressed in terms of expectation values of field insertions in a static Wilson loop.

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo PRD 63(01)014023
 Pineda Vairo PRD 63(01)054007
 Lattice provides a non-perturbative determination of the potentials.



• Koma Koma Wittig PoS LAT2007(07)111, Koma Koma arXiv:0911.3204



## The non-perturbative spin-independent $p^2/m^2$ potentials

## The non-perturbative spin-dependent $1/m^2$ potentials





$$M_{h_c} = 3524.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}$$

CLEO PRL 95(05)102003

Also

$$M_{h_c} = 3525.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}, \qquad \Gamma < 1 \text{ MeV}$$
  
• E835 prd 72(05)032001

• To be compared with  $M_{\rm c.o.g.}(1P) = 3525.36 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2 \, {\rm MeV}.$ 

#### Poincaré invariance

Non-relativistic EFTs are equivalent, order by order in v, to the original relativistic quantum field theory. In particular, this applies also to Poincaré invariance, which is apparently badly broken, but actually is not.

Poincaré invariance manifests itself in the EFT by constraining the form of the potentials.

o Dirac RMP 21(49)392, Foldy PR 122(61)275

#### Poincaré invariance

For any Poincaré invariant theory the generators H, P, J, K of time translations, space translations, rotations, and Lorentz boosts satisfy the Poincaré algebra:

The algebra constraints the potentials:

• 
$$V_{LS}^{(2,0)} - V_{L_2S_1}^{(1,1)} + \frac{V^{(0)'}}{2r} = 0$$
  
•  $V_{L^2}^{(2,0)}(r) + V_{L^2}^{(0,2)}(r) - V_{L^2}^{(1,1)}(r) + \frac{r}{2}V^{(0)'}(r) = 0$   
•  $-2(V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(2,0)}(r) + V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(0,2)}(r)) + 2V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(1,1)}(r) - V^{(0)}(r) + rV^{(0)'}(r) = 0$   
• ... ... ...

• Gromes ZPC 26(84)401, Barchielli Brambilla Prosperi NCA 103(90)59• Brambilla Gromes Vairo PRD 64(01)076010, PLB 576(03)314

#### Constraint on the spin-dependent potentials

A lattice determination of  $V_{LS}^{(2,0)} - V_{L_2S_1}^{(1,1)} + \frac{V^{(0)\prime}}{2r} = 0$ 



• M.Koma Y.Koma NPB 769(07)79, Koma Koma arXiv:0911.3204

#### Constraint on the spin-independent potentials I

A lattice determination of  $V_{\mathbf{L}^2}^{(2,0)}(r) + V_{\mathbf{L}^2}^{(0,2)}(r) - V_{\mathbf{L}^2}^{(1,1)}(r) + \frac{r}{2}V^{(0)\prime}(r) = 0$ 



O M.Koma Y.Koma Wittig PoS LAT2007(07)111

#### Constraint on the spin-independent potentials II

A lattice determination of  $-2(V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(2,0)}(r) + V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(0,2)}(r)) + 2V_{\mathbf{p}^2}^{(1,1)}(r) - V^{(0)}(r) + rV^{(0)\prime}(r) = 0$ 



• M.Koma Y.Koma Wittig PoS LAT2007(07)111

### Conclusions

Non-relativistic bound states have a prominent role in nature, as we know it, because they are at the basis of human-scale processes. For the same reason, they had a prominent role in the development of the quantum theory from the Schrödinger equation of the hydrogen atom to the quantum theory of fields. In face of the enormous difficulties in treating bound states in field theory, a long journey started in the seventies that eventually led to a new understanding of the Schrödinger equation.

The Schrödinger equation we have come back encompasses all the complexity and richness of field theory in the systematic setting of non-relativistic effective field theories. The counting rules and structure of the EFTs have allowed to perform calculation with unprecedented precision, where higher-order perturbative calculations were possible, and to systematically factorize short from long range contributions where observables were sensitive to the non-perturbative, infrared dynamics of QCD.