

# Consistent Dimensional Reduction over Coset Spaces

Athanasios Chatzistavrakidis  
N.T.U.Athens  
*and*  
NCSR Demokritos

Vienna, December 1, 2007

## Outlook

- Introduction
- Reductions and Consistency
- Consistency check for CSDR
- Conclusions

## Introduction

Higher dimensions provide a framework for unification of interactions.

Coset Space Dimensional Reduction (CSDR) provides:

- Gauge-Higgs-Yukawa unification
- Interesting GUT models/Chiral fermions in 4-dims
- $N=1$  Softly broken SUSY Lagrangians

Important issue for the effective theory: Consistency of the reduction → CSDR consistency has to be examined.

## Reduction on Group Manifold

Reduction of gauge field  $A$  on group manifold  $S$  (Scherk-Schwarz '79).

Ansatz:

$$A = A_\mu dx^\mu + A_I(x) e^I(y),$$

$I = 1, \dots, \dim S,$

$e^I \rightarrow$  left-invariant 1-forms.

$\hookrightarrow$  Keep only  $S_L$  singlets under the full isometry group  $S_L \times S_R$ .

Invariance Condition:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X^I} A = 0,$$

$X^I \rightarrow$  Killing vectors dual to right-invariant 1-forms.

## Reduction on Coset Space

Reduction of gauge field  $A$  on coset space  $S/R$  (Forgacs-Manton '79).

Generalized Invariance Condition:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X^I} A = DW_I,$$

$W_I \rightarrow$  gauge transformation parameter.

$\hookrightarrow$  Constraints on the gauge field.

Analysis of constraints provides:

- 4-dim fields
- gauge group of effective 4-dim theory

## Consistency

**Definition.** (Pons-Talavera '04) A truncation is said to be consistent if its implementation at the level of the variational principle agrees with its implementation at the level of the equations of motion.

The following diagram has to be commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\text{Red.}} & \mathcal{L}_R \\ \downarrow \text{e.o.m.} & & \downarrow \text{e.o.m.} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \Phi} = 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Red.}} & \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \Phi} \right)_R = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_R}{\delta \Phi} = 0 \end{array}$$

In general this diagram is not commutative.

- For reductions on Group Manifolds consistency is guaranteed (Scherk-Schwarz '79, Cvetič et.al. '03)
- For coset spaces consistency has to be examined explicitly

Check the consistency of CSDR (A.C., P.Manousselis, N.Prezas, G.Zoupanos, PLB 656 (2007))

## Set up

D-dim Einstein-Yang-Mills Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \hat{R} *_D \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \hat{F}_{(2)} \wedge *_D \hat{F}_{(2)} - \lambda_{(D)} *_D \mathbf{1},$$

with Lie(G)-valued field strength:

$$\hat{F}_{(2)} = d\hat{A}_{(1)} + \hat{A}_{(1)} \wedge \hat{A}_{(1)},$$

and  $\lambda_{(D)} \rightarrow$  D-dim cosmological constant.

Background metric on  $M^4 \times S/R$ :

$$g_{(D)} = \eta_{mn} e^m e^n + \delta_{ab} e^a e^b,$$

$\eta_{mn} \rightarrow$  Minkowski metric

$\delta_{ab} \rightarrow$  S-invariant metric on the internal space.



## Reduction of the Action

Action (YM part):

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int \text{Tr} \hat{F} \wedge *_D \hat{F},$$

Ansatz:

$$\hat{A}^{\tilde{I}}(x, y) = A^{\tilde{I}}(x) + \chi_{\alpha}^{\tilde{I}}(x, y) dy^{\alpha},$$

where

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{\tilde{I}}(x, y) = \phi_A^{\tilde{I}}(x) e_{\alpha}^A(y)$$

and  $\tilde{I}$  G-index, A S-index,  $\alpha$  (S/R)-index.

↪

$$\hat{F}^{\tilde{I}} = F^{\tilde{I}} + D\phi_A^{\tilde{I}} \wedge e^A - \frac{1}{2} F_{AB}^{\tilde{I}} e^A \wedge e^B,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{\tilde{I}} &= dA^{\tilde{I}} + \frac{1}{2}f^{\tilde{I}}_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}A^{\tilde{J}} \wedge A^{\tilde{K}}, \\
 D\phi^{\tilde{I}}_A &= d\phi^{\tilde{I}}_A + f^{\tilde{I}}_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}A^{\tilde{J}}\phi^{\tilde{K}}_A, \\
 F^{\tilde{I}}_{AB} &= f^{\tilde{I}}_{AB}{}^C\phi^{\tilde{I}}_C - [\phi_A, \phi_B]^{\tilde{I}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Dualize:

$$*_D\hat{F}^{\tilde{I}} = *_4F^{\tilde{I}} \wedge vol_d + *_4D\phi^{\tilde{I}}_A \wedge *_de^A - \frac{1}{2}F_{AB}vol_4 \wedge *_d(e^A \wedge e^B).$$

↪

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}Tr F \wedge *_4F + \frac{1}{2}Tr D\phi_a \wedge *_4D\phi_a - \frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab}vol_4,$$

by imposing constraints:

$$D\phi^{\tilde{I}}_i = F^{\tilde{I}}_{ai} = F^{\tilde{I}}_{ij} = 0.$$

where i R-index.

or

$$\begin{aligned}F_{ib} &= f_{ib}{}^c \phi_c - [\phi_i, \phi_b] = 0, \\F_{ij} &= f_{ij}{}^k \phi_k - [\phi_i, \phi_j] = 0, \\[A_\mu, \phi_i] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

↪ Exactly the CSDR constraints.

- Residual gauge group:  $H = C_G(R)$
- 4-dim scalars  $\phi_a$ :

$$S \supset R, \quad \text{adj}S = \text{adj}R + \sum s_i,$$

$$G \supset R, \quad \text{adj}G = (\text{adj}R, 1) + (1, \text{adj}H) + \sum (r_i, h_i).$$

→  $\forall r_i \equiv s_i \rightsquigarrow$  multiplet  $h_i \in H$

## Reduction of e.o.m.

Higher-dim Yang-Mills:

$$\hat{D} *_D \hat{F}^{\tilde{I}} = \hat{d} *_D \hat{F}^{\tilde{I}} + f_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}^{\tilde{I}} \hat{A}^{\tilde{J}} \wedge *_D \hat{F}^{\tilde{K}} = 0.$$

Substituting the ansatz we obtain:

$$D *_4 F^{\tilde{I}} \wedge vol_d - f_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}^{\tilde{I}} \phi_A^{\tilde{J}} *_4 D \phi_B^{\tilde{K}} \wedge e^A \wedge *_d e^B - *_4 D \phi_A^{\tilde{I}} \wedge d *_d e^A = 0$$

and

$$D *_4 D \phi_A^{\tilde{I}} \wedge *_d e^A - \frac{1}{2} f_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}^{\tilde{I}} \phi_A^{\tilde{J}} F_{BC}^{\tilde{K}} vol_4 \wedge e^A \wedge *_d (e^B \wedge e^C) - \frac{1}{2} F_{BC}^{\tilde{I}} vol_4 \wedge d *_d (e^B \wedge e^C)$$

By imposing the same constraints as before, namely

$$D \phi_i^{\tilde{I}} = F_{ai}^{\tilde{I}} = F_{ij}^{\tilde{I}} = 0.$$



$$\begin{aligned} D *_4 F^{\tilde{I}} &= f_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}^{\tilde{I}} \phi_a^{\tilde{J}} *_4 D \phi_a^{\tilde{K}}, \\ D *_4 D \phi_a^{\tilde{I}} &= -(f_{\tilde{J}\tilde{K}}^{\tilde{I}} \phi_c^{\tilde{J}} F_{ca}^{\tilde{K}} + \frac{1}{2} F_{bc}^{\tilde{I}} f_{bca}) vol_4. \end{aligned}$$

These are the equations of motion for a Yang-Mills theory coupled to charged scalars with non-trivial potential, namely the e.o.m. coming from the variation of the reduced Lagrangian.

↪ The truncation is consistent.

## Conclusions and current work

There is no standard recipe for consistent coset space reductions.

Utilizing a particular ansatz and a set of constraints (CSDR constraints) we proved the consistency of coset reduction.

- Including Fermions is straightforward
- Include Kaluza-Klein fluctuations

$$d\hat{s}_{(D)}^2 = ds_{(4)}^2 + h_{\alpha\beta}(x, y)(dy^\alpha - \mathcal{A}^\alpha(x, y))(dy^\beta - \mathcal{A}^\beta(x, y))$$

For general S-invariant metric the reduction can remain consistent

↪ Modified constraints, 4-dim potential modified from new scalar fields and new rules for the 4-dim gauge theory

- Application to reduction of Heterotic SuGra on suitable coset spaces