

Spectral Action on noncommutative torus

Cyril LEVY

D. ESSOUABRI, B. IOCHUM, & A. SITARZ : ArXiv 2007 - JNCG to appear

Vienna, November 30, 2007

Why spectral triples ? Physical motivation

Geometrization of particle physics

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{EH}$$

M : 4-dimensional manifold (spacetime)

- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{EH} : $\text{Diff}(M)$
- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{SM} : G_{SM}
- Full lagrangian : $G_{SM} \rtimes \text{Diff}(M)$

Why spectral triples ? Physical motivation

Geometrization of particle physics

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{EH}$$

M : 4-dimensional manifold (spacetime)

- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{EH} : $\mathbf{Diff}(M)$
- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{SM} : \mathcal{G}_{SM}
- Full lagrangian : $\mathcal{G}_{SM} \rtimes \mathbf{Diff}(M)$

Question : is there X such that $Diff(X) = \mathcal{G}_{SM} \rtimes Diff(M)$?

Why spectral triples ? Physical motivation

Geometrization of particle physics

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{EH}$$

M : 4-dimensional manifold (spacetime)

- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{EH} : $\text{Diff}(M)$
- Symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{SM} : \mathcal{G}_{SM}
- Full lagrangian : $\mathcal{G}_{SM} \rtimes \text{Diff}(M)$

Question : is there X such that $\text{Diff}(X) = \mathcal{G}_{SM} \rtimes \text{Diff}(M)$?

Answer : No, But...

Noncommutative generalization of manifolds (*Spectral Triples*) do the job.

Spectral triples

- Commutative world :

$$(C^\infty(M), L^2(M, S), \mathcal{D})$$

- operators $[\mathcal{D}, f]$ bounded
- if $n = \dim M$, $|\mathcal{D}|^{-n}$ infinitesimal of degree 1.
- $\mathcal{D} \simeq$ metric, $\mathcal{D}^{-1} \simeq ds$

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C^\infty(M), \|[\mathcal{D}, f]\| \leq 1\}$$

Spectral triples

- Commutative world :

$$(C^\infty(M), L^2(M, S), \mathcal{D})$$

- operators $[\mathcal{D}, f]$ bounded
- if $n = \dim M$, $|\mathcal{D}|^{-n}$ infinitesimal of degree 1.
- $\mathcal{D} \simeq$ metric, $\mathcal{D}^{-1} \simeq ds$

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C^\infty(M), \|[\mathcal{D}, f]\| \leq 1\}$$

- Noncommutative world :

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$$

+ J : real structure

Real structure and Inner fluctuation

Charge conjugaison operator for QFT : J

$$J\mathcal{D} = \varepsilon \mathcal{D} J \quad J^2 = \varepsilon' \quad J\chi = \varepsilon'' \chi J$$

- $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon'' \in \{-1, 1\}$ depends on $n \bmod 8$.

Gauge potential ? Fluctuation of the metric.

- Morita equivalence $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ gives

$$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} + A \quad A = \sum_i a_i [\mathcal{D}, b_i]$$

- Real Structure :

$$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_A := \mathcal{D} + A + \varepsilon JAJ^{-1}$$

- A = gauge potential as inner fluctuation of \mathcal{D} .

The spectral action principle

- What can play the role of the action in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$?

The spectral action principle

- What can play the role of the action in $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$?

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi, \Lambda) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(\mathcal{D}/\Lambda))$$

- Φ = cut-off function
- Λ = mass scale
- Action based on “spectral data” : \mathcal{S} counts the number of eigenvalues of $|\mathcal{D}| < \Lambda$.

Computation of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi, \Lambda)$

One approach : Heat Kernel expansion and Zeta functions.

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) \sim \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

- $\text{Res}_{s=-2\alpha} \zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}$
- $\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(0) = a_0$
- $\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = \text{Tr}(|\mathcal{D}|^{-s})$
- $\oint T = \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{Tr}(T|\mathcal{D}|^{-s})$

Computation of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi, \Lambda)$

One approach : Heat Kernel expansion and Zeta functions.

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) \sim \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$$

- $\text{Res}_{s=-2\alpha} \zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}$
- $\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(0) = a_0$
- $\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}(s) = \text{Tr}(|\mathcal{D}|^{-s})$
- $\int T = \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{Tr}(T|\mathcal{D}|^{-s})$

Laplace transform and $t = \Lambda^{-1}$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_A, \Phi, \Lambda) = \sum_{0 < k \in Sd^+} \Phi_k \Lambda^k \int |\mathcal{D}_A|^{-k} + \Phi(0) \zeta_{\mathcal{D}_A}(0) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

- Sd : Spectrum dimension of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$.

Coefficients of the spectral action

Computation of $\zeta_{D_A}(0)$ and $\int |\mathcal{D}_A|^{-k}$: **ΨDO calculus needed.**

$$OP^0 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Dom } \delta^n \quad \delta(T) := [|\mathcal{D}|, T] \quad \mathcal{A}, JAJ^{-1}, [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}] \subset OP^0$$

$$OP^\alpha = \{ T : T|\mathcal{D}|^{-\alpha} \in OP^0 \}, \quad OP^\alpha OP^\beta \subset OP^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad OP^{-n-\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$$

$T \in \Psi DO^d$ if $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T = P_N D^{-2p_N} + R_N$$

$$p_N \in \mathbb{N} \quad P_N \in \text{Alg}\{\mathcal{A}, JAJ^{-1}, \mathcal{D}, |\mathcal{D}|\}$$

$$R_N \in OP^{-N} \quad P_N |\mathcal{D}|^{-2p_N} \in OP^d$$

Spectrum dimension : poles of $\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}^T(s) = \text{Tr}(T|\mathcal{D}|^{-s})$, $T \in OP^0$.

Commutative case : $Sd = \{n - k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Coefficients of the spectral action

Simple spectrum dimension $\implies \int$ trace on the algebra $\Psi DO : \int PQ = \int QP$.

$|\mathcal{D}_A|^{-k}$ are pseudodifferential operators and

$$\int |\mathcal{D}_A|^{-k} = \int |\mathcal{D}|^{-k} + \sum_{p=1}^{n-k} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_p=0}^{n-k-p} h(k, r, p) \int A(r_1, \dots, r_p) |\mathcal{D}|^{-k},$$

Scale-invariant term (Chamseddine-Connes 2006)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{D}_A}(0) = \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{(-1)^q}{q} \int ((A + JAJ^{-1}) |\mathcal{D}|^{-1})^q$$

Coefficients computation \rightarrow residues of \mathcal{D} -zeta functions :

$$\text{Res}_{s=p} \zeta_{\mathcal{D}}^P(s) = \text{Res}_{s=p} \text{Tr}(P |\mathcal{D}|^{-s}) \quad P \in \Psi DO$$

NC-torus of dimension d

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_k U_k : (a_k)_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \}$$

$$U_k U_q = e^{-ik \cdot \Theta q} U_q U_k$$

Θ skew symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix.

U_k are unitaries

$\tau(a) := a_0$ is a trace giving by GNS \mathcal{H}_τ

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^m}, \quad m = [d/2]$$

Natural derivations : $\delta_\mu, \quad \mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

$$\delta_\mu U_k := ik_\mu U_k$$

Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{D} := -i\delta_\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu$$

NC-torus of dimension d

$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is a spectral triple

Hermitian one-form $A := \sum_i a_i [\mathcal{D}, b_i], a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_A &= \mathcal{D} + A + \epsilon JAJ^{-1} \\ &= -i(\delta_\mu + L(A_\mu) - R(A_\mu)) \otimes \gamma^\mu, \quad A_\mu = -A_\mu^*\end{aligned}$$

- **Result :** Under a number theoretical hypothesis on Θ ,
 $Sd(Nc\text{-torus}) = \{ d - k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}$ and all poles are simple (simple spectrum dimension).
- Whole spectral action reduced to computation of residues of zeta functions
- NC-torus \rightarrow (Schwartz weighted series of) **Hurwitz-Epstein** zeta functions.

Residues of some Hurwitz-Epstein zeta functions

Result :

$$f_a(s) := \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{P(k)}{\|k\|^s} e^{i2\pi k \cdot a}$$

$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ homogeneous polynomial of degree p ,

$$\|k\| = \sqrt{k_1^2 + \dots + k_d^2}.$$

- When $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, f_a has meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .

f_a not entire $\iff \text{Res}_{s=d+p} f_a(s) = \int_{u \in S^{d-1}} P(u) dS(u) \neq 0$

- When $a \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{Z}^d$, f_a has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C} .

Residues of some Hurwitz-Epstein zeta functions

Result :

$$f_a(s) := \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{P(k)}{\|k\|^s} e^{i2\pi k \cdot a}$$

$a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ homogeneous polynomial of degree p ,

$$\|k\| = \sqrt{k_1^2 + \dots + k_d^2}.$$

- When $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, f_a has meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} .

f_a not entire $\iff \text{Res}_{s=d+p} f_a(s) = \int_{u \in S^{d-1}} P(u) dS(u) \neq 0$

- When $a \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{Z}^d$, f_a has an analytic extension to \mathbb{C} .

Schwartz weighted series of f_a :

When Θ is **badly approximable**, for any $q > 0$,

$$g(s) := \sum_{l \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^q} c(l) f_{\Theta(\sum_i l_i)}(s), \quad c(l) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^d)^q$$

has only one pole on $s = d + p$ with

$$\text{Res}_{s=d+p} g(s) = c \int_{u \in S^{d-1}} P(u) dS(u)$$

Diophantine Approximation

Θ badly approximable :

Diophantine condition : $\exists u \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \exists \delta > 0$

$$|q.\Theta u - p| > c|q|^{-\delta}, \quad \forall 0 \neq q \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Almost any vector in \mathbb{R}^n is badly approximable.

Due to J : Control holomorphy of Schwartz weighted Hurwitz-Epstein Zeta functions with Θ -phase

NC-torus of dimension d : Action

Result

$d = 2$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_A, \Lambda, \phi) = 4\pi \phi_2 \Lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2})$$

$d = 3$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_A, \Lambda, \phi) = 8\pi \phi_3 \Lambda^3 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

$d = 4$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_A, \Lambda, \phi) = 8\pi^2 \phi_4 \Lambda^4 - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \tau(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2})$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\mu(A_\nu) - \delta_\nu(A_\mu) - [A_\mu, A_\nu].$$

More generally, $\forall d \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_A, \Lambda, \phi) = \sum_{k=0}^d \phi_{d-k} c_{d-k}(A) \Lambda^{d-k} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-1})$$

$$c_{d-2}(A) = 0, c_{d-k}(A) = 0 \text{ for } k \text{ odd } (d \text{ odd} \Rightarrow c_0(A) = 0)$$

Conjecture : Noncommutative coefficients of $\mathcal{D} + \tilde{A} \simeq$ coefficients of $\mathcal{D} + A$ for the commutative torus

Final remarks

- No tadpole on nc-torus : $\int \tilde{A} \mathcal{D}^{-1} = 0$
- Top term (cosmological term) : $\int |\mathcal{D}_A|^{-d} = \int |\mathcal{D}|^{-d}$
- Non invertibility of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}_A : not a problem because P_0 and P_A are in $OP^{-\infty}$.
- Open question : Is the badly approximable hypothesis on Θ necessary, can it be weakened ?
- Spectral action on Noncompact spectral triple ?